UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

			1		
SYNTE	EL STEI	RLING BEST SHORES MAURITIUS LIMITED,			
		Plaintiff,	15 Civ. 00211 (LGS) (JLC)		
		V.			
		O GROUP, INC. NT TECHNOLOGY SOLUTIONS CORP. Defendants.	<u>CIVIL CASE</u> <u>MANAGEMENT PLAN</u> <u>AND SCHEDULING</u> <u>ORDER</u>		
LORNA G. SCHOFIELD, United States District Judge:					
This Civil Case Management Plan is submitted by the parties in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. $26(f)(3)$.					
1.	All parties [consent/ do not consent _X] to conducting all further proceedings before a United States Magistrate Judge, including motions and trial. 28 U.S.C. § 636(c). The parties are free to withhold consent without adverse substantive consequences. [If all parties consent, the remaining paragraphs need not be completed.]				
2.	The parties [have X / have not] conferred pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f).				
3.	This case is governed by one of the following sets of rules and the parties' proposed dates in this order have been adjusted accordingly.				
	a.	An employment case governed by the Initial Discove cases? http://www.nysd.uscourts.gov/cases/show.phg [Yes/ No _X]	1 2		
	b.	A § 1983 case governed by the Plan for Certain § 198 New York? http://www.nysd.uscourts.gov/rules/1983%20Revised ts.11.22.2013.pdf . [Yes/ No _X]	-		
	d.	A patent case subject to the Local Patent Rules? http://www.nysd.uscourts.gov/rules/Standing_Order_df . [Yes/ No _X]	In_re_Local_Patent_Rules.p		
4.	Alternative Dispute Resolution/Settlement				
	a.	Settlement discussions [have / have not _X] taken place.		

b.	Counsel for the parties have discussed an informal exchange of information of early settlement and have agreed to exchange the following:				
	The parties have not yet agreed to exchange any information.				
c.	Counsel for the parties have discussed the use of the following alternate dispute resolution mechanisms for use in this case: (i) a settlement conference before a Magistrate Judge; (ii) participation in the District's Mediation Program; and (iii) retention of a private mediator. Counsel for the parties propose the following alternate dispute resolution mechanism for this case:				
	The parties are working together to schedule a mediation with a private				
	mediator.				
d.	Counsel for the parties recommend that the alternate dispute resolution mechanism designated in paragraph 4(b) be employed at the following point in the case (e.g., within the next 60 days; after the deposition of plaintiff is completed (specify date); after the close of fact discovery): The parties are seeking to conduct a mediation with a private mediator in				
	April 2015.				
e.	The use of any alternative dispute resolution mechanism does not stay or modify any date in this Order.				
No additional parties may be joined after March 24, 2015 without leave of Court.					
Amended pleadings may be filed without leave of Court until <u>March 24, 2015</u> .					
Initial disclosures pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) shall be completed no later than 14 days from the date of this Order. [Within 14 days of the parties' Rule 26(f) conference, absent exceptional circumstances.]					
Fact Discovery					
a.	All fact discovery shall be completed no later than July 15, 2015. [A period not to exceed 120 days, unless the Court finds that the case presents unique complexities or other exceptional circumstances.]				
b.	Initial requests for production of documents pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 34 shall be served by May 8, 2015				
c.	Interrogatories pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 33 shall be served by May 29, 2015				

2

5.

6.

7.

8.

	d.	Depositions pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 30, 31 shall be completed by July 15, 2015			
	e.	Requests to admit pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 36 shall be served by June 8, 2015			
	f.	Any of the deadlines in paragraphs 8(b) through 8(e) may be extended by the written consent of all parties without application to the Court, provided that all fact discovery is completed by the date set forth in paragraph 8(a).			
	Exper	Expert Discovery [if applicable]			
	a. _	Anticipated types of experts if any: Potential subjects for expert testimony include, but are not limited to, damages and industry expertise.			
	b.	All expert discovery shall be completed no later than8/28/2015 [Within 45 days from the date in paragraph 8(a), i.e., the completion of all fact discovery, absent exceptional circumstances.] Omit unless types of experts are identified.			
	c.	By <u>6/15/2015</u> [no later than one month before the date in paragraph 8(a), i.e., the completion of all fact discovery] the parties shall meet and confer on a schedule for expert disclosures, including reports, production of underlying documents and depositions, provided that (i) expert report(s) of the party with the burden of proof shall be due before those of the opposing party's expert(s); and (ii) all expert discovery shall be completed by the date set forth in paragraph 9(a).			
).	This c	his case [is X / is not] to be tried to a jury.			
1.		Counsel for the parties have conferred and their present best estimate of the length of trial s <u>7-10 days</u> .			
2.		Other issues to be addressed at the Initial Pretrial Conference, including those set forth in Sed. R. Civ. P. 26(f)(3), are set forth below.			
	None	None.			
3.	Status	Letters and Conferences			

- a. By <u>5/22/2015</u> [60 days after the commencement of fact discovery], the parties shall submit a status letter explaining what discovery has taken place, what discovery remains, and how the parties are acting diligently to meet the discovery deadline.
- b. By 7/29/2015 [14 days after the close of fact discovery], all counsel must confer to discuss settlement and jointly advise the Court in writing whether or not they request a referral for settlement discussions as provided in Paragraph 4(c) above.

 9/8/15 at 10:30 a.m.
- c. On <u>9/11/2015</u> at <u>10:00 A.M.</u> [usually 14 days after the close of discovery], a case management conference shall be held. The conference will serve either as a pre-motion conference for any party seeking to file a summary judgment motion, or a scheduling conference for trial.
 - i. A party wishing to file a summary judgment motion shall file a pre-motion letter, and any party wishing to oppose also shall file a letter at the times and in the form provided in the Court's Individual Rule III.A.1. The Court will set the briefing schedule at the conference. The Court will set a firm trial date after a decision on any summary judgment motion.
 - ii. If no pre-motion letters are timely filed, at the Case Management Conference, the Court will set dates for a final pre-trial order, other pre-trial submissions, a final pre-trial conference and trial. The trial date will be firm.

By April 14, 2015, the parties shall jointly file a status letter about their mediation efforts.

This Order may not be modified or the dates herein extended, except by further Order of this Court for good cause shown. Any application to modify or extend the dates herein (except as provided in paragraph 8(f)) shall be made in a written application in accordance with the Court's Individual Rules and shall be made no less than 2 business days prior to the expiration of the date sought to be extended.

The Clerk of Court is directed to enter the dates under paragraphs 5, 6, 8(a), 9(b)-(c) and 13(a)-(c) into the Court's calendar.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 24, 2015 New York, New York

Counsel for the Parties:

HONIGMAN MILLER SCHWARTZ AND

COHN LLP

Norman C. Ankers (admitted pro hac vice)

2290 First National Building 660 Woodward Avenue

Detroit, MI 48226-3506

Tel: (313) 465-7306

nankers@honigman.com

CADWALADER, WICKERSHAM & TAFT

LLP

Louis M. Solomon

200 Liberty Street

New York, NY 10281

Tel: (212) 504-6006

Fax: (212) 504-6666 louis.solomon@cwt.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant Syntel Sterling Best Shores Mauritius Limited and Plaintiff Syntel, Inc.

LORNA G. SCHOFIEL

United States District Judge

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP

James E. Brandt

Jeff G. Hammel

Sarah M. Lightdale

885 Third Avenue

New York, NY 10022

Tel: (212) 906-1200

Fax: (212) 751-4864

james.brandt@lw.com

jeff.hammel@lw.com

sarah.lightdale@lw.com

Attorneys for Defendants and Counterclaim Plaintiffs TriZetto Corporation and Cognizant Technology Solutions Corporation